THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

The Truth Never Dies: The Case of Dr Innocent Chukwudumebi Okafor and the College of Physician and Surgeons of Ontario (CPSO)

At this critical point of near system-wide judicial failure in the above-mentioned case, it has become necessary for me to take this most extraordinary action: taking it upon myself to put forward, for public record, this report in my position as a top-tier participant and witness in this most foul and sordid matter.

I will need the undivided attention of the reader. This site is a compilation of the facts and truths of this case that have been buried for too long. Dr. Okafor and I put this site together to defend his good name from the vile operations of vipers hiding behind the sanctity of a respected body.

This comes in the wake of the recent refusal by the Ontario Court of Appeal to hear Dr. Okafor's formal application for an appeal of the case which was supposedly the obligatory next step in a, so far, close to 4-year-long effort at seeking judicial redress, during which protracted persecution period Dr Okafor continued to demonstrate extraordinary patience at this very violent desecration of his good name, in the persisting hope of a judicial reprieve from the despicable tyranny and human right abuses by agents of the Ontario College of Physicians.

The Appeal Court's surprising refusal to hear the case said it was for "No stated reason," no doubt because you, the College, continue to obstruct justice in this case by hiding the high-handed abuses of your college-physician power advantage behind the masquerade of legal provisions that say your decisions are immune to judicial review, and therefore outside the jurisdiction of the courts. Under this legal blanket, you hide the long bloody knife of wickedness and racist malice, a long-existing pattern of tyranny and unethical persecution that makes the Ontario College of Physicians a well-known bogeyman to be best avoided by circumspective physicians. This time, we will not be your play toy. Your wickedness will now be laid bare on every accessible public forum. Because if the system hides you, the common public will call you out when the facts and evidence of this case are laid bare and naked for every eye to see and judge.

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

After your shameful abuses of Dr. Okafor's divinely appointed rights, you continue your immoral harassment with the dystopian logic of issuing a late-day summons for him to stand before you to answer to your ritual of shaming: a vindictive demand in the wake of his recent referral of your maltreatment to the Ontario Human Rights Tribunal. Let me state clearly now that it will NEVER happen, your lies and tampering with the truth can only go this far, the gloves are off and this battle has only just begun! As many of you as have colluded and conspired to besmear Dr. Okafor's good name, you will answer to a higher power that sees and knows the truth, which you have maliciously hidden in your fake attempt to prevent the world from seeing the facts of this case.

Dr Okafor will not respond to you. Your Cabal of Calumny is too morally defunct to deserve not to talk of demanding his subservience. Instead, you get this very public exposure of your wicked wiles and ways, your immoral and unethical machinations, and Macbethlevel wickedness that violates every good faith that the public reposes on this statutory body.

I am a long-time confidante and partner of Dr. Okafor. I am a primary witness to the wickedness and sheer evil of your dealings with this pleasant Nigerian Family Physician. Dr. Okafor has been extraordinarily tolerant in putting up with your decade-long torment and narcissistic hatred for his unbowed and unyielding negritude. For me, enough is enough now, your train of dark mischief stops here, exposed and halted by this expose, for all it is worth, of your wickedness and racist high-handedness.

I have known Dr Okafor since 2015, first as a friend and close confidant and now as a partner. He has always diligently and very openly shared his ongoing travails and exasperations with the CPSO with his family and close friends, having nothing to hide, confident that on some fine day soon the blind lady of justice will intervene to give a fair reprieve from the tyranny of his high-level detractors and prove his innocence.

I have shared with him the most and worst of this journey, every step of the way. I am well known to all of his lawyers, who were his unfortunate ordeal to have handle this case, Ms. Jenny Stephenson and Mr. Andrew Matheson. To be fair to Mr. Andrew Matheson, he came on too late on this case, but Ms. Jenny Stephenson was always on the case. The biggest mistake was letting her represent him from the on-set. Hindsight they say is 20/20! Looking back, we should have gone with lawyers outside the obligatory medical legal provision (CMPA) from the very beginning. I strongly believe everything happens for a

mail@drokaforvscpso.com

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

reason! I fight now, not just for Dr. Okafor, but for any doctor, especially in Ontario, that have been wrongly accused and punished. This expose is for you!

I have helped steady the boat of his efforts for redress against the wicked waters of malice and treachery and have fully reviewed, and am deeply familiar, with every scrap of the documented evidence related to this case. Therefore, I am fully aware and a primary witness to the incomparable injustice and malice meted out against his noble person. I write this therefore, in indictment to all who had a hand in this charade, from the depth of my soul, I stand violated by having come face to face with the violence and tyranny of unbridled white hatred.

Let me be first to state here in, the sacred light of the statements in evidence that follows below, that there is no way on this God's good earth that the outcome of this case can be said to have been a mistake on your part. The facts of the case are too clear, the absence of smoking guns too total, the wantonness and overt unreliability of the woman you so blatantly misused in this project so obvious that there is no way an intelligent and powerful organ like the CPSO could not discern the very naked truth of Dr. Okafor's innocence in this matter. Not when given his consistent transparency and the robust body of supporting records provided throughout all of this. Indeed, the evidence only points to this: a very deliberate, determined corporate conspiracy to destroy another successful black man in North America, one with a hard-earned, community-wide reputation for being a hardworking, extremely dependable, immigrant doctor who gives his 150 percent to as many as come across him for help.

Yet, for all practical purposes it is necessary to continue this disclosure by assuming that, somehow, the truth of this case was indeed mysteriously lost on the College agents who handled it, afflicted with pernicious tone deafness to its unmistakable truths and in so doing let us summarize yet again the very objective and incontestable particulars of this case, clearly backed by its complete body of evidence, holding no contradictions that justify the long season of wickedness designed to profane and destroy this good man's life.

For the sake of the lay readers, from whom I now earnestly beg for the kindness of patience in reviewing the convoluted course of this case, this presentation of facts will be

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

done in ERAS and INDICES. The ERAS will cover Dr OKAFOR's dealings with the CPSO in the order of their occurrence, while the INDICES will present the independent facts of the case in their actual chronological sequence.

First a short introduction of Dr Okafor.

Dr I C Okafor is a veteran of medical practice who graduated from the University of Benin, Nigeria in 1990. He went on to serve a wide swarth of the Nigeria community, in diverse rural and urban settings, until he moved to Canada in 2000 where he promptly set about acquiring his Canadian medical practice Licence.

While challenging the multiple exams in this process he lived in Brampton Ontario working as both a personal support worker with the Brampton Caledon Community Living and the Catholic School Board, first, as a supply teacher and then as an Educational Resource Worker from 2000 to spring 2003. It is noteworthy that the humility of these jobs notwithstanding, he served with compassion and dedication testified to by the fact that the grateful mentally and physically challenged wards and their families he cared for during this period remain dear and supportive friends of his to this day.

He would eventually move to Newfoundland to complete a short residency program and then begin a practice there from 2003 to 2007. He returned to Ontario temporarily in 2007 initially by providing locum coverage in various urban and rural settings of Ontario, from Kapuskasing to Owen Sound, as well as in various walk-in clinics in the GTA before finally settling into the William Osler Hospital in Brampton first in the ER and then as a hospitalist on the rehab floors from 2008. In 2010 he opened a solo family practice just adjacent to the hospital complex. This office was moved to the neighborhood Walmart store in 2015 where he maintained a flourishing practice until 2021. Also, it is noteworthy that along with his hospital and office practice, Dr. Okafor worked with the Ontario house call service, Med-visit. He would jump into a car with a driver, late in the day about 2 to 3 times a week to drive around Mississauga and Brampton, often well past midnight, taking and making calls, and visiting a wide variety of home-bound patients to deliver needed ambulatory care. Note that this disposition to make late calls while riding shotgun with his Med-visit driver was the singular reason for the lateness of a few of his calls, for which he had been exploitatively scandalized by College agents who certainly have access to billing records and therefore to the work-related fact behind the time of those calls, as he vigorously defended in his submissions.

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

The ERAs – Encompassing the phases of Dr. Okafor's dealings with the CPSO chronologically. They reflect the totality of objective records of this case and will be narrated with interjection where needed for emphasis by **Dr. Okafor in the first person**.

DISCLOSURE: Any information shared here is from non-medical case records. Apart from medical record confidentiality restrictions, the agent used in fueling this matter was not a rostered patient of Dr. Okafor but a friend who went rogue with her fantasies, while also enticed by financial gratification, played a minion role in this.

ERA 1: Written by Dr. Okafor. This begins in 2016, the first time I heard about a report made by Shantel Thomas 4 years prior. The investigator in charge at the time, Mr. Gary Hickey had called my office one day to say he had sent me a letter a few weeks earlier to which he was still awaiting a response. I told him I had not seen it yet but will check for it and get back to him. Days later I did find it in the pile from the Walmart mail office, read it, and called him back. I explained my recollections of this woman to him over the phone and he very nicely let me know that he is retiring that December and would like to get a written response from me on it to enable him to close the file.

I agreed to do so and did: my knight in shining armor letter where I explained that Shantel Thomas was someone I had met non-professionally through her mom, a Nurse colleague from The William Osler Hospital, and subsequently her mom would confide in me that her daughter was a troubled sex trade worker, who works out of town, with a hard-drinking problem, a foul-mouth, and a tendency, amongst other behavioral discrepancies, to lie in her own vomit in the basement when she visited her mother. Horrified (Ms. Pauline was the most pleasant, articulate, genteel Jamaican Canadian I had ever met and this relationship of the seemingly pleasant young lady riding in the front passenger seat of her car when I first met her was a shock), I asked her: "Do you want me to talk some sense into her?" I asked, confident that some serious African-style, hard-hitting, common-sense talk would make an impact on this poor, misguided woman. Ms. Pauline gratefully agreed and gave me Shantel's number. And so began an informal, long-distance telephone relationship in which she was encouraged to call and ask me for advice at any time it was needed. Now a known acquaintance, Shantel would then drop by my office a few times on a walk-in basis when she came to town to visit her mother: 7 billed visits in total, from

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

November 2010 to May 2011, she would leave with prescriptions she never filled or used as stated by her during the hearing.

My much maligned and twisted-out-of-context letter therefore clearly explains that: Shantel, was extremely troubled, an exotic dancer by profession with significant behavioral and substance abuse issues, who at the behest of her beleaguered mother I had called upon and counseled severally in the past and who remains a disturbed young lady, with the personality of a dragon, for whom I retained the deepest compassion and would gladly still be a knight in shining armor to if need be. This sense of St George and the Dragon is what would be savagely taken out of context by malicious College agents, who cleverly kept the Dragon references silent and published the shining knight term as a romantic allusion. Mr. Gary Hickey, on the other hand, apparently received my letter with satisfaction and I never heard from him again, and past December 16, the date Gary informed me he was retiring, I concluded, to all intents and purposes, that was the end of the matter.

It is important to note at this point, that Dr. Okafor is a flowery writer, a historian, a poet, and a walking encyclopedia. He is an extremely intellectual being; some of his works can be found online. He has written several articles for newspapers, especially in Nigeria under his pseudonyms without being paid a dime. I will share his work if the situation presents itself.

ERA 2: Written by Dr. Okafor. In 2016, following an incident between my physician assistant (PA), Dr. Afroze S., and a locum pharmacist in the Walmart Pharmacy. Dr (Mrs.) Afroze is a Foreign Medical Graduate from Bangladesh.

On this occasion, the pharmacist, on his first day as locum at the local Walmart pharmacy, had received a patient from an outside office who had a prescription with an error of dosage. He then asked the patient to go to the walk-in clinic for a corrected script. I was away from the clinic at the time with the PA left in charge to offer basic services as this. She duly took a proper, basic history and rewrote the script. However, per standing protocol, did so without a signature, as she is not qualified to sign prescriptions, the standing arrangement with the pharmacy being for the pharmacist to validate all such scripts with me before filling them.

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

This was this pharmacist's first day and apparently, he had not been made aware of this. Frustrated that he sent a patient in with one script error and she returned with another he barged into the clinic demanding for a signature on the script. Afroze refused, explaining why. He raised such a raucous that his employer, the Walmart store management, forbade him from coming back to work at that store. He would vent his anger over this by sending extremely exaggerated letters to both the College of Pharmacists and the College of Physicians with fabricated allegations that were unrelated to the events of the case.

The College of Pharmacy came and quickly dismissed these allegations as superfluous. My College's agents also paid us a visit during which we explained the entire incident clearly and apparently satisfactorily and they left. We expected this was the end of it. Months later they returned, this time under a different investigator, Ms. Lindsey Thornbull, who came with an aggressive, unsmiling attitude, taking over the consult rooms, and perusing office records. I was aghast. There was no reason for this, for what was obviously a dubious report and my disapproval may have shown in my granting her full access to rooms and records but with a stiff-lipped lack of pleasantries. This tactless display of my displeasure was of course based on a naivety that the CPSO and its operatives are an ethics-bound and truth-powered operation and that no matter what one's countenance was, only truth would ever matter in the end. I now know this, lamentably, to have been a very lethal error."

"Ms. Lindsay would go on to drag on with turning our patients' records upside down, and finding no faults outside of minor, petty departures with the rigors of preferred standards e.g. not writing the length in millimeters of a TB reaction site that tested positive and a few such scanty but accurate visit records would order that I go for several remedial courses, including an opioid prescription course, not for something I did but for what she deemed excessive opioid prescription by a locum physician who covered for me when I was on vacation! Nevertheless, I diligently obliged, completing these obstacle courses and finishing them all. This battering of my practice and resources, stemming from a baseless report, would continue, astoundingly, for years. The blatant victimization of a practice and practitioner which was easily apparent as being above a province-wide average in its professionalism and clinical acumen was well underway."

ERA 3: Written by Dr. Okafor. Mr. Thomas is a Jamaican Canadian Brampton teenage boy who lives with his surrogate mother, (his biological grandmother), Ms. Pauline

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

Thomas. His biological mother, Shantel Thomas had always lived materially and emotionally apart from him having zero involvement in his life and care.

Mr. Thomas visited the clinic one day late in 2016 on a routine care walk-in, after which he took a brief moment to talk to me about a new app project he was working on. It sounded great. Here was a young risk-prone, black young man hoping to better himself against his difficult life odds I happened to know some app developers in the USA and decided to assist him, on his own recognition. This was not only in line with my long practice of community mentoring and sponsorship but was also a way of proving to myself and exercising my loyalty to the principles of forgiveness and compassion that I have always held dearly.

It was thus to his surrogate parent I placed a call to discuss this and get permission to assist him. I only stopped doing so when Shantel started interfering in late 2017 and exploiting it to resume her incessant calls to me. I therefore told Mr. Thomas I could no longer be available as support on his project. Interestingly the old, presumed rested College 2012 issue, 5 years prior, was at this time resurrected with renewed vehemence and vigor, bringing us, in blatantly contrived and malicious installments, to the present day.

THE INDICES.

The 'INDICES' encompasses the highlights of the 'ERAS' but in their actual chronological order.

INDEX 1: Year 2010: (As explained in that first letter sent to Gary Hickey in 2016, 4 years after the alleged case was reported) Dr. Okafor is a doctor with a keen sensitivity for the welfare of his people and race in this community and in perfect alignment with his culture when his colleague at the hospital, Ms. Pauline Thomas, shared with him the problem she was having with his wayward adult daughter, who worked and lived outside of Brampton he offered to call and counsel her and acquired her phone number from the mother.

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

INDEX 2. Telephone counseling sessions with infrequent calls triggered by the woman in question calling for advice on her crisis. Due to the nature of Dr. Okafor's work, the majority of the calls in the only phone record obtained by college investigators happened late at night while he was in transit. This woman had run through multiple phone numbers between 2010 and 2012, as records will show. Despite multiple numbers used between 2010 and 2012, it is interesting we only get to see the record of the 2012 number alone. Why the college investigators did not acquire the phone records of her other phone number(s) remains a misery!

INDEX 3. Dr Okafor's family practice in Brampton began in the summer of 2010; the woman in question made walk-in visits when she was in town. Consistent with the rules for episodic walk-in visits by friends and relatives, per the College's terms and conditions i.e. since she was a social acquaintance she was accorded the proper attention for a walk-in visit. Her first walk-in visit was in November 2010.

INDEX 4. Records show that on the 28th of June 2012, which would have been the 8th of such walk-in visits by the woman in question, Dr. Okafor had cause to stop the visit without taking any notes from her and did not bill for the visit. This has been clearly, and logically, explained by Dr Okafor through his lawyers. He immediately discontinued making a written record of her visit that day, having only written the generic opener notation. "Nil c/o." This notation (which means no new complaint) is used when, upon asking a patient "What brings you here today?" he or she opens for example by saying, "Nothing, really." The doctor puts down "nil c/o," The reason for the visit is perhaps an ongoing issue e.g. a prescription refill. It is the business-minded approach of a busy practitioner who starts making records even while conducting the clinical interview.

When the woman in question then said she was there just to say hello as that was the only way she could actually get to see him, in other words, that she was only there on a social visit, this revealed a risk of her developing a pattern of coming to the clinic for social visits when it should be strictly for medical consults. At this point, Dr. Okafor immediately stopped the visit, erased the opening notation of nil c/o on his electronic pad, and discontinued the encounter. It was this act of aborting a fake visit, in real-time, weeks before any call was made that the College in its wisdom has come to libel his name with "the deletion of medical record!!!" The reader should kindly note that: In the several years of vigorous record review and investigation not one case of actual deletion of records, false billing, or any such malpractices were found in his office records of thousands of patients from multiple treatment settings over 5 years of comprehensive community

mail@drokaforvscpso.com

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

medical practice. There can be no clearer, firmer sign of the malice with which this witchhunting was pursued.

INDEX 5. He then asked her to kindly stop coming to the clinic and to restrict all future conversations to their episodic telephone calls. She agreed and left, and for this reason that visit was not billed as he had not served her professionally in any way, a further demonstration of the ethics of that transaction apparent to all but those bent on destruction! Please tell me, is his clinic a charity organization that offers free healthcare services? How relevant to the case was this visit if I may ask? Why would you now take this one unbilled visit as "deleting record" and use this to libel his good name?

INDEX 6. When the woman in question called Dr. Okafor a few days later while he was at the gym and said she had an urgent request to ask of him, Dr Okafor agreed to meet and told her where he was. She met him at the gym and shared that she is planning to leave her profession, move back to Brampton, and open a hair wig salon business, she was wondering if she could get accommodation with her helpful mentor.

"This bizarre request from someone who had just made me cut short my gym time jarred me and I was admittedly too blunt in my response, especially calling her out on her profession by saying that could never happen in any life. Her dragon-style reaction to this was so shockingly vile and vicious that I decided from that moment to sever all communication with her. Corroborating this, reviews of the phone records obtained by CSPO based on the number she provided to them, which covered till December of that year clearly showed she called my number repeatedly and incessantly for weeks before her call to the College and months after that." – Dr. Okafor

"It was right after this period of turning down, first of her walk-in visits and then of her longtime phone access to me that she, in true dragon style (predictable from her mother's heartbreaking testimonials) went on to make her wild card attempt at disparaging my name to the College. It is mind-boggling that this plain, series of events, unblemished by any contradicting evidence, would be hijacked as the sole basis for wrecking the broadbased practice of a conscientious physician." – Dr. Okafor.

INDEX 7. Again, Roger's telephone record clearly shows that all further calls by this woman to Dr Okafor even after she made the report to the College, tons of them, were not answers. Also, the call logs before her report show that she called the clinic first with

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

her new number in May 2012, before she made that call, her last visit to the clinic was in May 2011. The phone number on the clinic file was different from the number used in May 2012, no phone record of her previous number was obtained by CPSO. CPSO claimed that the calls were made extremely late into the night up to 1 am, but they failed to establish that a) the lady in question works at night, b) Dr. Okafor works with med-visit and visits patients at their homes up till this time, c) they failed to print the billing records that would show that the calls to the woman in question were made while he was on route to patients homes, being driven by a driver from one patients home to another. All these records they had access to but failed to include in their report.

INDEX 8. It is important to keep sight of the fact that none of this was reported to Dr. Okafor until 2016!!! Even then, he was not given the full details of the complaint. He was only given the name and asked to send medical notes. Regarding complaints against physicians, the College's own regulation stipulates that: 1. the report must be made in a recorded format by the complainant in writing or electronic format and 2. the physician in question must be informed about this within 2 weeks. Neither of these 'thresholds' were met in this case. The body in charge of ethics in medical conduct would seem capable of warping its rules and regulations at whim. Their agent who came to the clinic back in 2016 on the locum pharmacist matter, obviously was ready for blood because she felt Dr. Okafor was too big for his britches and she could hide behind a trusted body to teach him a lesson. How do you leave such a matter in a Doctor's file since 2012, fail to contact him to inform him, wait until you find him proud, then go after the tortured soul who started the complaint, cajole the soul, when the cajoling didn't work, threaten that soul with jail to come forward? Imagine how easy it would have been to gather evidence against Dr. Okafor on this matter. You only mentioned her name to him in 2016, Four years after????? No! Your agents of wickedness will not be able to hide on this matter. The world will judge this.

INDEX 9. By the time they finally made this report known to Dr. Okafor there had been no additional act or evidence collected from the complainant, a surprising point in this age of fingertip, digital recording facility. The woman reported in 2012 that she had sperm sample, but you didn't go after her to get that sample as vigorously as you so did in 2018???? The full extent of her false report only became known to Dr. Okafor in 2018.

INDEX 10. The above-mentioned conversations with Mr. Gary followed by the Knight in shining armor letter simply explained a.) The circumstances that led to meeting this extremely troubled woman. b). His relationship with her was to direct her towards material

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

and moral redemption and c). that, consistent with his spirit of forgiveness and broad compassion, especially in the light of the fact that he no longer saw her professionally, he could choose to still be a mentor, if the need ever arose.

My brief aside from INDICES:

This was where you distorted his Knight in shining armor reference, from its clearly intended meaning of the near-religious mandate of St. George and the Dragon as Dr. Okafor has repeatedly explained, to a Disney story version. You demonized his compassion for this broken woman, on what grounds? Let's be clear:

a). He said from the beginning and repeatedly to his lawyers that the woman in question's visits were walk-in visits by a known social acquaintance, which was perfectly within propriety boundaries as set in the constitution of the College. I am a witness to the fact that he stood firmly on this position from Day 1 and to how his lawyers repeatedly prevailed on him to change that to accept that she was fully under his care, i.e. as an enrolled patient, to assuage the College investigators and preclude them escalating the case to a head. This poorly veiled threat was employed throughout the investigation, anywhere Dr Okafor stood on his truth, consistent with objective records, strongly enough to frustrate the nefarious intentions of the investigation. Mr. Andrew and Ms. Jenny cannot possibly forget another instance of this: where Dr. Okafor insisted that the occasion of abruptly discontinuing her last clinic meeting with him in 2012 and asking her not to ever return to the clinic was because he saw her developing a habit for wasting his precious clinic appointment time which he cannot bill for. I am a witness to how strenuously the lawyers asked him to 'soften' on this position, to "please the College", to one where he had decided to stop the visits because he suddenly saw her clinic visits as "a crossing of boundaries!!!" Interesting, that after all that, we still end up here. It was a game of bait and switch, with his medical practice insurance lawyers playing along.

The simple facts speak in his defense. Why start the written record of the visit at all, using the classic physicians' notation "nil c/o"? And then stop abruptly? This is consistent with a clinician suddenly being told by the patient that she was not there for medical reasons. Besides, he never billed for that time! It is not hard, as I am sure the College appreciates in its erudite wisdom, to find a reason to complete the visit record and bill for the visit, if the only issue was a sudden pang of awareness at the impropriety of boundary crossing. Dr. Okafor made it clear from the very beginning that he did not bill for that visit because it was the ethical thing to do since no proper visit took place. In fact, he called in

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

an ear infection prescription for her, as the record will show, which in my opinion suggests kindness to a friend. If only he was wise enough to know there was danger lurking!

b). At the point of sending Mr. Hickey the said letter in 2016 the woman had no further clinical encounter with Dr. Okafor, her last billed encounter was in May 2011. Even per CPSO's own regulations he had no more physician-patient business with her and his statement therein that he will gladly continue to be her knight in shining armor during any true future episodes of existential crisis was very appropriate and not attended by any breach of College etiquette.

Return to INDICES.

INDEX 11. Dr. Okafor would hear nothing more from Gary Hickey and concluded that the veracity and honesty of his written response had been adequate, and therefore discharged the affair from his mind. Nothing further would be heard of this until after the case with my nursing colleague, Mrs. Thomas' grandson. Meanwhile, remediation procedures for the pharmacist case continued with the College. It was during one of those steps, an in-person meeting with a panel inside the College that he had improperly banged on the table in making an impassioned point. I drove him to that College meeting and recalled being crestfallen when he said that had occurred despite my advice to him to control his usual tendency for loud and blunt responses anytime his virtue is challenged. Whether this incident had anything to do with the case's referral to the Tribunal in 2019, remains a mystery.

INDEX 12. Mr. Thomas is the biological son of the woman who started this charade, Mr. Thomas lived with his grandmother, his de facto mother from infancy. When months after the Gary Hickey event Mr. Thomas will have a routine clinic visit to discuss his need for assistance with a new app development project. Dr. Okafor's nature of being there for everyone and anyone, with especially passion for social interventions on behalf of the disadvantaged members of the black community, is part of him that his community appreciates and respects. After obtaining permission from Mr. Thomas's grandmother proceeded to set him up with known app developers from the USA.

INDEX 13. There was absolutely no record of any involvement with his biological mother in the app effort apart from her being aware and saying thank you to be in December 2016. When she later tried to become involved, records would show, that Dr. Okafor

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

declined to assist any further, and told the son to tell his mother to stay clear. There was never any other intention, other than to offer assistance and support to a young man.

INDEX 14. After this point the Gary Hickey file will be resumed with great viscousness and vigor attending by a refusal to accept plain truths, the twisting of the material evidence to suit their narrative, and the setting up of a disciplinary hearing, with total disregard for the abject failure in the liar's alleged claims, while at the same time, as Barrister Andrew worse forced to lament post-hearing, "they chose to micro-analyze and tear apart the very consistent narrative of what you said and had been saying all along." This was indeed the case, even though he was being challenged by their belated notice of the case to recall events that happened in 2012, but heard nothing about until 2016, and the full disclosure of what was reported was not until 2018. The rest is history.

My conclusion.

A most unpleasant and shocking witch-hunt ignited by a sick woman's lust and metastasized by an individual whose ego was bruised. The verified and verifiable summary of this case is itemizable as follows

a). Dr. Okafor conducted his assistance to some woman he once saw as a friend within the limits of College regulations

b). He had no further physician-patient counters with this woman after asking her never to waste precious clinic visits for social calls in June of 2011.

c). Years later he remained open to compassionate interventions, consistent with his community-wide spirit, to her and to her extended family.

d) There is no evidence to contradict Dr. Okafor's consistent explanations for the facts of this case as they related to him. In this day and age of digital recording devices not one piece of recording picture or video is available to validate the woman's claim of having a sexual relationship with Dr. Okafor. Not one friend or family member from either person was presented as a witness to this relationship. Only the words of the same woman who became distinguished during this case by her elaborate fabrications of lies. Can anyone who attended the disciplinary hearing forget the most comic moment when she was called out of a fantastic lie? In one of her statements in evidence, she had concocted a story of having and living with a new boyfriend who is an ER physician, giving a name for this person which turned out to be something she had lifted from the label of a brand of bourbon – Dr. Jeremiah Weed!!!

mail@drokaforvscpso.com

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

e) Your published claim that records were deleted is an example of the worst of evil. Any sane observer of that claim would reflexively believe that this meant that the fraudulent expunging of records related to this case after the case broke had occurred. Show the proof of the deleted record, please, I beg you all. You call "nil c/o" a record? Erased right there on the day of the unbilled visit made by the time-wasting soul in June 2012? How vile and malevolent are you? Vile and malevolent does not begin to describe this singular act of character assassination.

f) You equally loudly published that he obstructed the investigation based on an alleged single, unsubstantiated claim by that tortured soul, that Dr Okafor had called her to threaten her about cooperating with the College when in fact there are millions of explicit text messages by this evil woman begging and pleaded with Dr. Okafor to be with her which she never disclosed to you when you clearly asked her to. Dr. Okafor for your information has never, ever agreed to call anyone related to any case, even when his family and friends considered it prudent to do so, he did not discuss or alert his staff when the College investigators said they were coming and did not accept any calls from this woman as soon as he realized the investigation had resumed. This truth and reality could no way have been lost on seasoned College hounds. It must haunt them and chaff them to find a black man so proper in his ethical dealings. It only therefore follows to reason that the subversion of these truths in favor of the posted lies is the work of the darkest of hate and racial prejudice.

A clear and instructive case in point was early one morning around 2018 the persistent ringing of the phone would wake us up. Dr Okafor looked at it, and noted that it was this unstable woman, placed the phone on silent, and went back to sleep. She herself is a desperate person who became a minion to investigators hell-bent on destroying Dr. Okafor, because, like a coven of witches, they think they can.

This is the sort of man your vampires would attempt to destroy along with his dedication to his community, but the truth never dies. You, along with your wickedness and deviousness will not win this battle. He has held his peace, amazingly selflessly, waiting and naively expectant that the truth would soon be championed by the court system but no, the College's shadow is too long and your powers too extensive. Neither the Divisional Court nor the Appeal Court nor the Ontario Human Right Tribunal have been able to give this the attention and justice it deserves and that the case screams to high heavens for. So much for a land of Justice and equality where all comers are free and able to express their God-given natures without fear or favor. Shame! Shame!!

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

But thank goodness for social media. This will go up on every possible public information outlet for the world to see. The place of everyone who has been involved in this willful distortion of clear and viable facts in the destruction of a hard immigrant's life work with no signs or incriminating evidence to support your various claims against his name is non to all without being said, anyone that willfully, willingly and prejudicially assassinates another human's reputation gets justice on this earth, they may not know it now but they will suffer and perish with the flames of the fire you have unjustly heaped on this man. You are collectively more perverse than he could ever be and will ever be. God's grievous judgment on the perpetrators.

Well done. Because of this Dr. Okafor now has developed a deep sense of distrust for the morality of Canadian, and indeed Western institutions and is especially extremely guarded about the nastiness and dangerousness of the mischief capacity of College agents comparing their methods to the worst from the international theatres of action of say the CIA or the KGB. To this end he now avoids exposing his whereabouts, especially after the sudden and mysterious death of the one man who was truly committed to championing his truth, Barrister Laurence Cohen, his previous lawyer who died with shocking quickness just weeks to the appeal date in March of 2022. Now he looks over his shoulder every time he is outside. Of course, this is likely all just paranoia justifiable from the shock of so rudely coming to terms with the gross reality of what people in power, even within a medical College, can be capable of.

I stayed with him and observed his deep disappointment at this level of wickedness from College operators. He was always so certain that this was not the case, that the College is too ethic-bound, too truth-driven for the truth not to ultimately prevail no matter how rude and disrespectful he appeared to College agents: A woefully lethal miscalculation. So woeful that he became quite shellshocked by investigators' shocking underhandedness and when his in-law convinced him that they must have cloned his phone following their abusive seizure of his cell phones he became obsessed with all sorts of intricate and bizarre schemes aimed at triggering the College's "secret operatives" into revealing their cloak and dagger activities. I was barely able to talk him out of this stress-induced delusion.

Dr. Okafor has no prior psychiatric record. His paranoia sprang from shock at this desecration of his truth, akin to that of the average American, at the height of the Cold War, for the hyped-up phobia for the 'evil Russians'. Shame on you!

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

I challenge you to deny and refute any of this. You have the proof you need but you chose to turn a blind eye to the truth because you want to teach a man who finds himself "grandiose" (in your own words) a lesson. Let justice be served on this matter because you need penance to heal your wicked heart.

Dr. Okafor is a Nigerian prince and Lion-heart and bows down to no one. You messed up, the day you picked on a Nigerian for this fight. Let this be the last time you show such chicanery to a righteous Nigerian. You spent a lot of money trying to destroy his reputation, but you could only come up with defamation and distortion of the truth because you feel you have the power, I say No! his congregation of supporters say No! Dr. Okafor says No! We all say NO!

My name is Funmi Ajayi, and I stand with Dr. Okafor!

Dr. I C Okafor's closing submission.

? MONSTERS INC.

"Whoever fights monsters should see to it that he does not become a monster himself. [For] when you look into the abyss the abyss also looks into you." —— Friedrich Nietszche.

For almost 10 years in total I have been extremely patient with this assault on my hard earned reputation - out of supreme respect for due process, from the unshakable confidence that the truth will ultimately prevail and from a deep sense of destiny of being charged with a sacred mission from the Universe. But that reticence is over. I make these opening statements now in a new, injustice-invigorated pursuit of full public disclosure, in my full rights under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

I say now to the monsters that handled this misapplication: Funmi's forerunning fury is justified for this reason: your whole-sale deception of the public by these claims. Because no one who reads these claims, secure in their de facto trust in the structures and procedures of the College would ever guess:

1. That you had absolutely no proof of what you claim against my name. That in this day and age of digital technology you have not a shred of evidence that substantiates these destructive and egregious claims. Recently when I brought an urgent motion before the Ontario Human Right Tribunal which demanded that you produce evidence for these claims before the Tribunal your response was to lawyer up and submit that you are immune from such requests.

2. That the lady you exploited for this hatchet job was a deeply troubled, drug and alcoholaddicted, black, call girl with the 'perfect', long, legal record of instigating trouble for others, as her own mother once told a College investigator.

Nor that her exploitative manipulation by her College handlers began 5 years after she first called the College then immediately distanced herself from her action until you, for unrelated reasons pursued and compelled her to serve as your witness. Nor that she demonstrated through out her ensuing ordeal with her College handlers a floridly erratic and unreliable conduct that seemed almost designed to signal that she was acting under duress. Nor that this was actually more than 5 years after I had discontinued even my walk-in therapeutic alliance with her. For the record Shantel, you had better be ready for my lawsuit against you, if you get to read this. You can not hide anymore, you need to dance to the music you played!

3. That your claim of record deletion was a horrendous, schizophrenic level fabrication. NO RECORDS WERE EVER DELETED before or after case onset and as my office staff will bear witness to I do not even inform them of impending investigators visit (there was absolutely nothing to hide) so investigators could get an unbiased and undeviated response from them.

4 That your claim of the obstruction of investigation never had a shred of evidence presented in support of it. And that nothing of my statement given from the onset and through out ever deviated from the self evidence of the extant case records.

mail@drokaforvscpso.com

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

5. That you ignored your own rules as it fits you, in order to enforce subjective narratives that fit your plan to destroy an arrogant Nigerian physician.

Case handlers made bold to preclude the public disclosure of essential points of this case by the muzzle of a media ban at the end of the Disciplinary Committee's Salem witch trial. That muzzle is now off.

Do not be deluded: this is not a disdain for the sacred stature of the College, but of the malicious actions wrought by the specific individuals in charge, under the aegis of the College. This is a diatribe against heavy-handedness against physicians in the name of remediation. For remediation should be this: the fair and proper abnegation of valid and validated malfeasances in the physician-patient relationship. There are monsters everywhere. But remediation is not the vindictive manipulation of day-clear facts in service

of the punishment of a rude and arrogant physician, a self-proclaiming conclusion not mine. For nothing else explains this extreme degree of misapplication of the College's powers and resources.

Nor does this in any way suppose a jaundiced view of all College personnel. Never. I have had some of the most amazing interactions with College officials - be it the investigators of my office cases, or of other physicians reaching me for witnessing, or of congenial College customer service personnel. We have had laughs, shared compliments, and sheer good-natured courtesy. There are good people everywhere.

Therefore, I do this now on behalf of all the good doctors working hard out there, who are being similarly traumatized, living and working in constant fear, their care delivery distracted by the heavy-booted foot of ill-intentioned College regulators, like Sword of Damocles, ever at their necks. In the hope that in some small way, this will somehow count towards all our better protection.

If by your actions and decisions you prove yourselves wilfully blind to the fact that we all come to these common lands from very diverse backgrounds and cultural sensibilities then you prove yourselves anachronistic to the great multicultural experiment that is Canada. To take my ingrained values of community, compassion and candor and

THE CASE OF DR INNOCENT CHUKWUDUMEBI OKAFOR AND THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO (CPSO)

demonize them into this twisted medieval travesty is to be in all final analysis an enemy of the Canadian state.

And the end of the matter is this. Stopping a practice with thousands of well-cared-for hospital and community patients: workers, elders, children, students, the physically impaired etc who depend on it for their vital health care needs should only be when a physician is dangerously out of control, not because a deeply troubled, social outcast once besmeared him or her with chronically overactive and dishonest imagination. That is deeply irresponsible. And the College's responsibility here should be to weed out its monsters, not allow them to hide behind well-intentioned legal provisions. Not to do so is to risk subverting the CPSO into Monsters Incorporated.